

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>		<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/693,822		BLANCO ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>		<b>Art Unit</b>	
	DANIEL F. HAJNIK		2628	

  

**All Participants:**

(1) DANIEL F. HAJNIK.

(2) Jens C. Jenkins (#44,803).

**Date of Interview:** 25 March 2008

**Type of Interview:**

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant    ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes    ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*n/a*

Claims discussed:

*1, 18, and 26*

Prior art documents discussed:

*n/a*

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

*Examiner proposed claim amendments to put the case in condition for allowance and an agreement was made with Applicant's Representative*

**Part III.**

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

**Status of Application:** Allowed

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Time:** 3PM

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)